CURIE Syntax 1.0

A syntax for expressing Compact URIs

W3C Editor's Draft 22 April 2009

This version:
http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/2009/ED-curie-20090422
Latest version:
http://www.w3.org/TR/curie
Previous version:
http://www.w3.org/TR/2009/CR-curie-20090116
Diff from previous version:
curie-diff.html
Editors:
Mark Birbeck, webBackplane mark.birbeck@webBackplane.com
Shane McCarron, Applied Testing and Technology, Inc.

This document is also available in these non-normative formats: PostScript version, PDF version.

The English version of this specification is the only normative version. Non-normative translations may also be available.

Copyright © 2007-2009 W3C® (MIT, ERCIM, Keio), All Rights Reserved. W3C liability, trademark and document use rules apply.

Abstract

This document defines a generic, abbreviated syntax for expressing URIs. This syntax is intended to be used as a common element by language designers. Target languages include, but are not limited to, XML languages. The intended audience for this document is Language designers, not the users of those Languages.

Status of this Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the W3C technical reports index at http://www.w3.org/TR/.

This document is a Proposed Recommendation of the CURIE Syntax specification. It is based upon comments received during the Candidate Recommendation period, upon work done in the definition of [XHTML2 [p.17]], and upon work done by the RDF-in-HTML Task Force, a joint task force of the Semantic Web Best Practices and Deployment Working Group and XHTML 2 Working Group. It is considered mature and stable by the working group. A record of how the comments from the Candidate Recommendation period were addressed is available at http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/2009/curie-cr-doc-20090424.html . An implementation report is available at http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/2008/curie-impl-report.html. The working group invites comments on this draft through 30 June 2009. Comments should be addressed to www-html-editor@w3.org. All comments sent to that address are available in a (public archive).

Publication as a Proposed Recommendation does not imply endorsement by the W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this document as other than work in progress.

This document has been produced by the W3C XHTML 2 Working Group as part of the HTML Activity. The goals of the XHTML 2 Working Group are discussed in the XHTML 2 Working Group charter.

This document was produced by a group operating under the 5 February 2004 W3C Patent Policy. W3C maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a patent which the individual believes contains Essential Claim(s) must disclose the information in accordance with section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy.

Please report errors in this specification to www-html-editor@w3.org (archive). It is inappropriate to send discussion email to this address. Public discussion may take place on www-html@w3.org (archive).

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 5
    1.1. Existing Uses of CURIEs 6
2. Usage 7
    2.1. Example CURIEs 7
    2.2. Ambiguities Between CURIEs and URIs 7
3. Syntax 9
4. Incorporating CURIEs into Host Languages 11
    4.1. SPARQL 11
    4.2. XHTML+RDFa 11
    4.3. XHTML 2 11
5. Conformance Requirements 13
    5.1. CURIE Processor Conformance 13
A. CURIE Datatypes 15
    A.1. XML Schema Definition 15
    A.2. XML DTD Definition 16

B. References 17
B.1. Required Specifications 17
B.2. Related Specifications 17

1. Introduction

This section is informative.

More and more languages need a mechanism to permit the use of *extensible* name collections. These are primarily found in XML attribute values, but also found in other, similar spaces in non-XML languages (e.g., [SPARQL [p.18]]). Typically such extension mechanisms utilize the concept of *scoping*, where names are created within a unique *scope*, and that scope's collection is managed by the group that defines it. Using such a mechanism allows independent organizations to define names without the risk of collision.

At the same time, language designers are trying to ensure that their languages mesh smoothly into the *semantic web*. Since the basis of the *semantic web* is the notion that meaning can be derived through the relationship among resources, these extension mechanisms need a ready way of mapping their scoped names to resources (via URIs).

In many cases, language designers are attempting to use QNames for this extension mechanism [XML-SCHEMA-QNAME [p.18]]. QNames do permit independent management of the name collection, and *can* map the names to a resource. Unfortunately, QNames are unsuitable in most cases because 1) the use of QName as identifiers in attribute values and element content is problematic as discussed in [QNAMES [p.17]], and 2) the syntax of QNames is overly-restrictive and does not allow all possible URIs to be expressed.

A specific example of the problem this causes comes from attempting to define the name collection for books. In a QName, the part after the colon must be a valid element name, making an example such as the following *invalid*: `isbn:0321154991`

This is not a valid QName simply because '0321154991' is not a valid element name. Yet, in the example given, we don't really want to define a valid element name anyway. The whole reason for using a QName was to reference an item in a private scope - that of ISBNs. Moreover, in this example, we want the names within that scope to a URI that will reveal the meaning of that ISBN. As you can see, the definition of QNames and this (relatively common) use case are in conflict with one another.

This specification addresses the problem by creating a new data type whose purpose is specifically to allow for the definition of scoped names that map to URIs in exactly this way. This type is called a "CURIE" or a "Compact URI". Syntactically, CURIEs are a superset of QNames.

Note that this specification is targeted at language designers, not document authors. Any language designer considering the use of QNames as a way to represent URIs or unique tokens should consider instead using CURIEs:

- CURIEs are designed from the ground up to be used in attribute values. QNames are designed for unambiguously naming elements and attributes.
- CURIEs expand to any IRI. QNames are treated as value pairs, but even if those pairs are combined into a string, only a subset of IRIs can be represented.
- CURIEs can be used in non-XML grammars, and can even be used in XML languages that

do not support XML Namespaces. QNames are limited to XML Namespace-aware XML Applications.

1.1. Existing Uses of CURIEs

Although they are not currently called CURIEs, the technique described here is in widespread usage. However, taken literally, QNames would not support many of the examples that we would find 'in the wild' — the fact that they do is mainly because systems and authors take a very lax approach to QNames.

In other words, the *principle* used in QNames — that of combining a *namespace name* with a *local part* to generate a URI — is widely used, but little checking is done on the *local part* to ensure that the string is a valid element name. However, this does mean that CURIEs can be easily used in a number of places, since there is already a large amount of 'mind-share'.

2. Usage

This section is informative.

CURIEs can be used in exactly the same syntactic way QNames have been used in attribute values, with the modification that the format of the strings after the colon is looser. In all cases a parsed CURIE will produce an IRI. However, the process of evaluating involves replacing the CURIE with a concatenation of the value represented by the prefix and the part after the colon (the *reference*).

Note that if CURIEs are to be used in the context of scripting, accessing a CURIE via standard mechanisms such as the XML DOM will return the lexical form, not its value as IRI. In order to develop portable applications that evaluate CURIEs, a script author must transform CURIEs into their value as IRI before evaluating them (e.g., dereferencing the resulting IRI or comparing two CURIEs).

Also note that it is possible to define a CURIE prefix such that the resulting CURIEs in a document would resemble URIs (e.g., a prefix named 'mailto' would engender CURIEs that look like `mailto:someone` but would expand to something else). Such CURIEs would only occur in contexts where a normal URI COULD NOT occur, but might still cause confusion for people reviewing the source of a document.

2.1. Example CURIEs

All of the following are valid CURIEs — even though they are not valid QNames — and they take advantage of the fact that the part after the colon no longer needs to conform to the rules for element names:

```
home:#start
joseki:
google:xforms+or+'xml+forms'
```

2.2. Ambiguities Between CURIEs and URIs

CURIEs [p.9] and SafeCURIEs [p.9] map to IRIs, but neither a CURIE nor a Safe_CURIE *is* an IRI or URI. Accordingly, CURIEs and Safe_CURIEs MUST NOT be used as values for attributes or other content that are specified to contain only URIs, IRIs, URI-references, IRI-references, etc. Specifications for particular attribute values or other content MAY be written to allow either CURIEs or IRIs (or URIs, etc.). The specifications for such languages MUST provide rules for disambiguation in situations where the same string could be interpreted as either a CURIE or an IRI. One way to do this is to require that all CURIEs be expressed as Safe_CURIEs, implying that all unbracketed strings are to be interpreted directly as IRIs.

3. Syntax

This section is normative.

The following definition makes the set of CURIEs a syntactic superset of the set of QNames. It is comprised of two components, a *prefix* and a *reference*. The prefix is separated from the reference by a colon (`:`). It is possible to omit both the prefix and the colon, or to omit just the prefix and leave the colon. To disambiguate a CURIE when it appears in a context where a normal [URI [p.17]] may also be used, the entire CURIE is permitted to be enclosed in brackets (`[`, `]`).

```
safe_curie  :=   '[' curie ']'

curie       :=   [ [ prefix ] ':' ] reference

prefix      :=   NCName

reference   :=   irelative-ref (as defined in IRI)
```

Note that while the empty string matches the production for curie above, an empty string is NOT a valid CURIE.

The host language MUST provide one or more mechanisms for defining the mapping from the `prefix` to an IRI.

A host language MAY interpret a *reference* value that is not preceded by a *prefix* and a colon as being a member of a host-language defined set of reserved values. Such reserved values MUST translate into an IRI, just as with any other CURIE.

A host language MAY declare a default prefix value, or MAY provide a mechanism for defining a default prefix value. In such a host language, when the `prefix` is omitted from a CURIE, the default prefix value MUST be used. Conversely, if such a language does not define a default prefix value mechanism and does not define a set of reserved values, CURIEs MUST NOT be used without a leading *prefix* and colon.

CURIEs are an abbreviation for strings which are intended to represent IRIs (as defined by the IRI production in [IRI [p.17]]), but checking that intent is not part of CURIE conformance. The intended IRI is constructed by concatenating the prefix binding with the reference part, if any. There MUST be a prefix binding for the prefix (or the default prefix, if the prefix is absent) in scope. The concatenation of the prefix value associated with a CURIE and its `reference` MUST be an IRI (as defined by the IRI production in [IRI [p.17]]).

The CURIE prefix '_' is reserved for use by languages that support RDF. For this reason, the prefix '_' SHOULD be avoided by authors.

Host languages MAY define additional constraints on these syntax rules when CURIES are used in the context of those host languages. Host languages MUST NOT relax the constraints defined this specification.

It is an error if a string required by a host language to be a CURIE or safe_curie fails to satisfy the constraints defined above. Rules for error reporting and/or recovery SHOULD be provided in the specification for the host language.

The `safe_curie` production is for use in attribute values where it would be otherwise impossible to disambiguate between a CURIE and a URI. Host languages are NOT required to use `safe_curie`s other than in such a context.

When revising a language that has historically permitted URIs in certain locations (e.g., as values of a specific attribute), to ensure backward compatibility, language designers SHOULD NOT permit CURIEs (or safe_curies) as the datatype in the corresponding location, but SHOULD provide a new mechanism (e.g., a new attribute).

4. Incorporating CURIEs into Host Languages

This section is informative.

CURIEs can be used in a variety of ways in host languages. This section shows a few simple examples.

4.1. SPARQL

The [SPARQL [p.18]] language provides a `PREFIX` keyword for defining the prefix used in their CURIE-like identifiers. SPARQL would need to constrain the *reference* portion of a CURIE to get identical syntax, but such a constraint is permitted by this specification.

```
PREFIX foaf:   <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/>
SELECT ?x ?name
WHERE  { ?x foaf:name ?name }
```

4.2. XHTML+RDFa

The RDFa Syntax specification defines an extended version of XHTML 1.1 called XHTML+RDFa. Because XHTML+RDFa is an XML markup language, the CURIE prefixes are defined using the `xmlns:` attribute.

```
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML+RDFa 1.0//EN"
         "http://www.w3.org/MarkUp/DTD/xhtml-rdfa-1.dtd">
<html version="XHTML+RDFa 1.0"
      xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
      xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/">
      <head version="XHTML+RDFa 1.0"
            profile="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/vocab">
            <title>An XHTML+RDFa document using CURIEs</title>
      </head>
      <body>
          <p rel="cite">
              this content was written by
              <span property="dc:creator">some author</span>
          </p>
      </body>
</html>
```

4.3. XHTML 2

XHTML 2 includes the `role` attribute. This attribute takes advantage of CURIEs to permit the easy definition of additional roles for the content of a page.

```
<html version="XHTML2"
      xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
      xmlns:MR="http://www.example.org/roles/myRoles#">
      <head profile="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/vocab">
          <title>An XHTML 2 document using Role</title>
      </head>
      <body>
          <p role="MR:main">The main content</p>
          <p role="MR:music">Some musical support for the page</p>
      </body>
</html>
```

5. Conformance Requirements

This section is *normative*.

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119 [p.17]].

5.1. CURIE Processor Conformance

A conforming CURIE processor must support all of the features required in this specification.

A. CURIE Datatypes

This section is informative.

In order to facilitate the use of CURIEs in markup languages, this specification defines some additional datatypes in the XHTML datatype space (`http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/`). Markup languages that use XHTML Modularization [XHTMLMOD [p.17]] can find these normative definitions in the Modularization support file "datatypes" for their schema grammar:

- DTD xhtml-datatypes.mod
- XML Schema xhtml-datatypes.xsd

Specifically, the following datatypes are introduced:

CURIE
: A single curie [p.9]

CURIEs
: A whitespace separated list of CURIEs

SafeCURIE
: A single safe_curie [p.9]

SafeCURIEs
: A whitespace separated list of SafeCURIEs

URIorSafeCURIE
: A URI or a SafeCURIE (since you need a SafeCURIE to disambiguate between a common URI and a CURIE)

URIorSafeCURIEs
: A whitespace separated list of URIorSafeCURIEs

A.1. XML Schema Definition

The following *informative* XML Schema definition for these datatypes is included as an example:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
 xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
 xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/"
 xmlns:xh11d="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/"
 targetNamespace="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml/datatypes/"
 elementFormDefault="qualified"
>
    <xs:simpleType name="CURIE">
        <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
            <xs:pattern value="(([\i-[:]][\c-[:]]*)?:)?.+" />
            <xs:minLength value="1"/>
        </xs:restriction>
    </xs:simpleType>

    <xs:simpleType name="CURIEs">
```

```
        <xs:list itemType="xh11d:CURIE"/>
    </xs:simpleType>

    <xs:simpleType name="SafeCURIE">
        <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
            <xs:pattern value="\[(([\i-[:]][\c-[:]]*)?:)?.+\]" />
            <xs:minLength value="3"/>
        </xs:restriction>
    </xs:simpleType>

    <xs:simpleType name="SafeCURIEs">
        <xs:list itemType="xh11d:SafeCURIE"/>
    </xs:simpleType>

    <xs:simpleType name="URIorSafeCURIE">
        <xs:union memberTypes="xs:anyURI xh11d:SafeCURIE" />
    </xs:simpleType>

    <xs:simpleType name="URIorSafeCURIEs">
        <xs:list itemType="xh11d:URIorSafeCURIE"/>
    </xs:simpleType>
</xs:schema>
```

A.2. XML DTD Definition

The following *informative* XML DTD definition for these datatypes is included as an example:

```
<!ENTITY % CURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % CURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % SafeCURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % SafeCURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIE.datatype "CDATA" >
<!ENTITY % URIorSafeCURIEs.datatype "CDATA" >
```

B. References

B.1. Required Specifications

This section is normative.

IRI
"*Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRI)*", RFC 3987, M.Duerst, M. Suignard January 2005.
Available at: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3987.txt

RFC2119
"*Key words for use in RFCs to indicate requirement levels*", RFC 2119, S. Bradner, March 1997.
Available at: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

URI
"*Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax*", RFC 3986, T. Berners-Lee *et al.*, January 2005.
Available at: http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt. This RFC updates RFC 1738 [URI [p.17]] and obsoletes RFC 2732, 2396 and 1808.

XML
"*Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fourth Edition)*", W3C Recommendation, T. Bray, J. Paoli, C. M. Sperberg-McQueen, E. Maler, F. Yergeau, *eds.*, 16 August 2006.
Available at: http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816

B.2. Related Specifications

This section is informative.

QNAMES
"*Using Qualified Names (QNames) as Identifiers in XML Content*". N. Walsh, 17 March, 2004.
Available at: http://www.w3.org/2001/tag/doc/qnameids-2004-03-17
The latest version is available at: http://www.w3.org/2001/tag/doc/qnameids

XHTML2
"*XHTML™ 2.0*". J. Axelsson *et al.*, 26 July 2006.
Available at: http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/WD-xhtml2-20060726
The latest version is available at: http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml2

XHTMLMOD
"*Modularization of XHTML™ 1.1*", W3C Recommendation, D. Austin *et al.*, *eds.*, 8 October 2008.
Available at: http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-xhtml-modularization-20081008
The latest version is available at: http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml-modularization

RDFa in XHTML: Syntax and Processing
RDFa in XHTML: Syntax and Processing (See http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-rdfa-syntax-20081014.)

XML-SCHEMA-QNAME
XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition: Section 3.2.18 QName (See http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/#QName.)

SPARQL
"*SPARQL Query Language for RDF*". Eric Prud'hommeaux *et al.*, 15 January 2008. Available at: http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-rdf-sparql-query-20080115
The latest version is available at: http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-sparql-query